



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,630	01/31/2006	Noriyuki Sakoh	277513US6PCT	7218
22850	7590	01/07/2010	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			JACOB, AJITH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 10/566,630
Filing Date: January 31, 2006
Appellant(s): SAKOH ET AL.

Edward W. Tracy, Jr.
For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed October 7, 2009 appealing from the Office action mailed August 19, 2009.

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

(7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-11 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsuk et al. (US 2003/0076301 A1).

For claim 1, Tsuk et al. teaches:

A data display control device comprising: a database storing a plurality of text data with at least a first length [a portion of data set to be displayed, 0068];
search means for searching the database for at least one piece of text data with the first length, based on an input search key [scrolling due to user input, 0014];
a hardware display including a display area configured to display text data from the database, the display area having a width [display with set area, 0068];
control means for obtaining partial text data with a second length that is smaller than the first length and corresponding to the width of the display area, out of the at least one piece of text data found by the search means, from the database, and to display the partial text data on the display area [displaying of partial text to fit viewing area, 0068];

Art Unit: 2161

and

scrolling means for horizontally scrolling display of the partial text data and remaining text data on the display area after receiving a command from a user, the remaining text data being all the text data other than the partial text data, the scrolling means obtaining the remaining text data from the database and automatically horizontally scrolling the remaining text data after the partial text data [horizontal scrolling, 0068 and scrolling in successive stage without user input, 0011], the scrolling means automatically vertically scrolls other pieces of text data after automatically horizontally scrolling the remaining text data after the partial text data [linear (vertical) scrolling, 0081].

For claim 3, Tsuk et al. teaches:

The data display control device according to claim 1, wherein the control means obtains a text data part, that is larger than the second length and smaller than the first length, out of the at least one piece of text data found by the search means, with the text data part added to the partial text data, from the database, and to perform horizontal scroll display on the display area [displaying partial data of longer data at a time, then scrolled, 0017].

For claim 4, Tsuk et al. teaches:

The data display control device according to claim 1, wherein the control means controls to obtain a prescribed maximum number of data, out of a plurality of data found by the search means, from the database and temporarily store the prescribed maximum number of data in a storage medium, and displays a part of the prescribed maximum number of data on the display in accordance with a height of the display [storage of

Art Unit: 2161

media data in cache before processor display data as necessary, 0073-0074].

Claim 5 is a method of claim 1 and 7. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 and 7 for the reasons stated above and below, respectively.

Claim 6 is a program of claim 1 and 7. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 and 7 for the reasons stated above and below, respectively.

For claim 7, Tsuk et al. teaches:

The data display control device according to claim 1, wherein the display area has a height of one line of text data [display of single line of data, 0009].

For claim 8, Tsuk et al. teaches:

The data display control device according to claim 1, further comprising: speaker means for playing audio content [speaker for audio output, 0075].

For claim 9, Tsuk et al. teaches:

The data display control device according to claim 8, wherein the text data is a title of the audio content [display of list of songs, 0062].

For claim 10, Tsuk et al. teaches:

The data display control device according to claim 8, wherein the text data is a name of an artist performing the audio content [media item of songs displayed, 0069].

Claim 11 is a unit device of claim 1. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 13 is a unit device of claim 3. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 3 for the reasons stated above.

Art Unit: 2161

Claim 14 is a unit device of claim 4. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 4 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 15 is a unit device of claim 7. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 7 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 16 is a unit device of claim 8. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 8 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 17 is a unit device of claim 9. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 9 for the reasons stated above.

Claim 18 is a unit device of claim 10. Tsuk et al. teaches the limitations of claim 10 for the reasons stated above.

(10) Response to Argument

i. Ground of Rejection No. 1

35 U.S.C 102(e) Rejections by Tsuk

Appellant argues that Tsuk et al. (US 2003/0076301 A1) teaches away from automatically vertically scrolling other pieces of text data after automatically horizontally scrolling the remaining text data after the partial text data for claim 1, 5, 6 and 11.

Appellant particularly points to "automatic scrolling" as the feature missing from the reference and thus not anticipating the claims.

Appellant is in disagreement with the following step as being taught by Tsuk et al.

"scrolling means for horizontally scrolling display of the partial text data and remaining text data on the display area after receiving a command from a user, the remaining text data being all the text data other than the partial text data, the scrolling

Art Unit: 2161

means obtaining the remaining text data from the database and automatically horizontally scrolling the remaining text data after the partial text data, the scrolling means automatically vertically scrolls other pieces of text data after automatically horizontally scrolling the remaining text data after the partial text data.”

The aforementioned step of scrolling is viewed, during examination, as displaying an overflow of characters past the display area through the step of scrolling and a further step of scrolling down vertically after the horizontal scrolling. The vertical and horizontal steps are argued to be automatic. But the first section of the scrolling step describes the partial text data to be the “remaining text data”, which is horizontally scrolled **after** receiving “a command from a user”. Thus the step of scrolling the data horizontally and vertically is initiated by a user command and **not automatically**. Tsuk et al. teaches a lengthy dataset being displayed through scrolling horizontally [0011] and also being able to display portions of data vertically by scrolling [0068]. Tsuk et al. also teaches a command from a user through a “rotational user action” from the user [0011] and the act of scrolling horizontal and/or vertical scrolling therein [0068], thus rendering the latter action to be automatic as perceived by the Appellant’s claim language.

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner’s answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ajith Jacob/

Art Unit: 2161

Examiner, Art Unit 2161

December 31, 2009

Conferees:

/Hosain T Alam/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166

/Kavita Padmanabhan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2161